
Remarks after reviewing the thesis

2 messages

Mahshid Mortazavi <mahshidmortazavi9@gmail.com>

Apr 8., Wed at 11:46

To: László Pitlik <pitlik.laszlo@kodolanyi.hu>, <ssun41268@gmail.com>

Hello,

I reviewed this thesis mainly from the formal / CT point of view, and I noticed the following issues which seem easier to identify by page:

1. **Pages 1–2** – The document still begins with instruction text:

“**2.x. In case of each thesis with a COCO-orientation...**” and

“**3.x. In case of each thesis...**”

In my opinion, these look like internal requirements and should not remain in the final thesis file.

2. **Pages 1–2** – The front matter seems duplicated. There is first a thesis/cover-style block, and then again university/title-page information with title, supervisor, author, and Budapest 2026. So the beginning does not yet look like one clean final title-page structure.

3. **Pages 143–145** – In the annex / LLM part, there is still raw assistant-style text such as:

“**Your structure is already very good. With the tweaks above, it becomes...**”

To me this looks like editing residue and not final thesis text.

4. **Pages 143–145** – The annex includes a direct sentence like

“**If you want, I can also write the 1–2 sentence ‘chapter opener’ for 3.1 and 3.2**”.

This also looks like copied conversation text and probably should not stay in the final thesis.

5. **Page 145** – Under “**8.8 Tutorial on operation**”, there is only a raw direct link:

https://miau.my-x.hu/miau/330/st/USER_GUIDE%202025.docx

In my opinion, this should probably be formatted in a cleaner thesis/reference style, not left as a standalone raw URL in the body.

6. **Pages 143–145** – In the same annex section, the material reads partly like conversation transcript / support notes rather than final academic annex formatting. So even if the annex itself is allowed, I think this part still needs cleaner separation between verbatim AI material and normal thesis text.

Overall, I think the thesis is structured seriously in many parts, but these formal points seem to need correction most clearly.

Best regards,

Mahshid Mortazavi

шагай төртогтох <ssun41268@gmail.com>

Apr 9., Thu at 14:22

To: Mahshid Mortazavi <mahshidmortazavi9@gmail.com>

Dear Mahshid,

Thank you very much for your detailed review. I truly appreciate your feedback.

I will make the necessary revisions based on your comments as soon as possible.

Best regards,

Shagai Turtogtokh
Computer Science BProf Student 23NBCSIK
Kodolányi János University
COV22Y

[Quoted text hidden]